

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,440	09/16/2003	Hiroichi Ukei	Q77488	9838
65565 SUGHRUE-26:	7590 04/09/2007 5550	,	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW	ZIRKER, D	DANIEL R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		X	1771	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/662,440	UKEI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Daniel Zirker	1771		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Application 9)⊠ -	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the oath of the oat	vn from consideration. Telection requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
		• .			
Attachment	(s)		•		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/07.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. The Examiner suggests that in claim 1, line 10, after "low-density polyethylene" it appears desirable to insert --, said low density polyethylene-- to remove any possible ambiguity which may exist.
- 3. The specification is objected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the invention, substantially for reasons already of record and as most recently set forth in Paragraph No. 3 of Paper No.20060727, together with the following additional observations. While it is noted that applicants indeed do not have to explain the scientific basis for their invention (Response, pages 6-7) the fact is that their Examples are not consistent with the remainder of their disclosure. Note that they argue that there is no error present in their Examples which as earlier noted obtain significantly different results with only very small changes in density in what must be considered a relatively predictable art, while at the same time their specification teaches (e.g. page 11, lines 1-19) that a wide range of densities of both HDPE and LDPE are "especially preferred". Note also that their claims set forth the wide range of densities and weight ranges which are taught as especially preferred. Accordingly, the Examiner can only conclude from the totality of their disclosure that the specification is little more than an invitation to experiment.

4. Claims 1,2, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as being based on a non enabling disclosure.

5. Claims 1,2, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al. The reference, initially relied upon only as a secondary reference, discloses (note particularly not only Col 4, lines 12-18, but also the Abstract, Figs 2 and 11, Col 1, lines 10-15, lines 39-47, lines 58-64, Col 3, lines 1-5, Col 4, lines 27-37, lines 65-68, Examples, Table 3) substantially an anticipation of at least the broadly claimed genus of adhesive tapes except for a specific recitation of the polyolefin resins HDPE and LDPE which comprise the supporting substrate composition, together with their specific densities. However, one of ordinary skill would clearly be aware that the teachings of Col 4, lines 12-18 would encompass the well known polyolefin species HDPE, LDPE and blends thereof, and note that fillers are also taught as suitable (Col 4, line 67) for incorporation into the polyolefin blends. As regards the specific claimed densities note that Table 3 of the reference does not specifically teach using an LDPE of only 0.920 g/cm3 or higher (Response, page 8), but as merely some unknown polyethylene composition which presumably can be a mixture of various polyethylene species, including, e.g. LDPE of the requisite claimed density less than 0.919g/cm3. Finally, as regards the alleged superior properties possessed by supporting substrates of the claimed genus of adhesive tapes (Response, page 8) this particular aspect of the invention is not agreed with for reasons set forth above in paragraph 3, and note also that applicants' claims are much broader than any supporting substrates which might possibly read upon these particular claimed compositions.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is 571-272-1486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8;30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Daniel Zukin